

Presenter Name: _____

Judge: _____

Faculty Research Symposium 2025 – Scholars Track Rubric: Peer-Reviewed Scholarly or Creative Activity

Presentation Format: ☐ Long ☐ Short ☐ Panel ☐ Poster ☐ Creative/Other

Instructions for Judges: Circle or highlight one score per category (1–10). Use the descriptors as a guide. Provide brief comments if possible.

Scoring Key: (1–4 = Developing); (5–7 = Proficient); (8–10 = Exemplary)

Criterion	Developing (1–4)	Proficient (5–7)	Exemplary (8–10)	Score
Content & Clarity: Focus, relevance, depth, & logical organization of ideas.	Lacks focus or clarity; ideas disconnected or incomplete.	Purpose generally clear; some gaps in organization/ideas.	Cohesive, well-organized, & compelling; meaningful ideas.	___ /10
Scholarly Rigor & Contribution: Evidence of research quality, disciplinary standards, & contribution to the field.	Minimal evidence of scholarly foundation; limited engagement with discipline or literature.	Demonstrates adequate rigor & relevance to field; clear but modest contribution.	Strong evidence of peer-reviewed quality; significant contribution to the discipline or profession.	___ /10
Complexity & Methodology: Clarity of purpose, approach, & explanation of findings or outcomes.	Purpose or method unclear; insufficient support for conclusions; results vague or incomplete.	Reasonably clear research purpose & process; some limitations in explanation or interpretation.	Purpose, process, & findings clearly articulated; demonstrates strong methodological grounding.	___ /10
Organization & Presentation Design: Structure, flow, pacing, and visual/organizational quality.	Disorganized or hard to follow; visuals ineffective or cluttered; time poorly managed.	Generally well-structured; visuals or pacing mostly effective.	Smooth, professional flow; visuals & materials clear, creative, & highly effective.	___ /10
Professional Delivery & Engagement: Clarity, confidence, communication style, & ability to engage audience/Q&A.	Nervous or unclear; limited engagement with audience; weak responses to questions.	Communicates with some confidence; engages audience moderately well.	Highly confident, polished delivery; excellent audience connection & thoughtful Q&A responses.	___ /10

Total Score: _____ / 50

Judge Comments or Feedback: